

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

COMMISSIONER FOR FATERIS	
P.O. Box 1450	
1.0. DOX 1430	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/523,615	03/13/2000	Yang Cao	Cao-7	6571
7590 02/13/2004 Harness Dickey & Pierce PLC			EXAMI	NER
			VOLPER, THOMAS E	
P O Box 8910 Reston, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100.011, 111 -			2665	ß
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/523,615	CAO, YANG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas Volper	2665	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ristatute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communic SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ration.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 November 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	llowance except for formal matt nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merit 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 7-15 and 29-41</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 7-15 and 29-41</u> is/are reject	ted.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/an algetion requirement	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			21/4)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			<del>-</del> •
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foroign priority under 35 LLS C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E  * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doctoric aspecific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign langua 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doctoric reference was included in the first sentence	uments have been received.  uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). r a list of the certified copies not omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. the first sentence of the specific ge provisional application has b omestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No I received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data seen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a spe	cation) Sheet. cific
Attachment(s)	, <b>.</b>	O.,	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper</li> </ol>	(48) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ ·

Art Unit: 2665

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 7-10, 12-15, 29-31, 34-37, and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oliva et al. (US 6,654,802) in view of Chao et al. (US 6,549513).

Regarding claims 1, 15, 29 and 30, Oliva discloses a system that includes network elements (22 and 24) that comprise nodes, wherein each node has multiple ports (26) (col. 4, lines 19-65). A unique port identifier is stored in each network element for each port, and this identifier distinguishes that port from any other port on the network (col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 5). Upon connection of network elements (22 and 24), the source node transmits data to the destination node, and either network element (22 or 24) may comprise the source, while the other comprises the destination (col. 5, lines 16-20). Each source port (26) transmits the network element and port identifiers using transport overhead bytes (col. 5, lines 32-38). These transport overhead bytes represent the out of band channel of the present invention since they do not

Art Unit: 2665

reduce the bandwidth available for payload data. The system also includes a management system for storing and determining the topology of the network, wherein either network element (22 or 24) may comprise the management system. The management system requests transfer of the identifiers (col. 5, line 59 – col. 6, line 4). Thus, either network element (22 or 24) may act as the management system and request port identifiers from the other network element. Oliva also discloses that network (40), which operates similarly to the embodiment described above, may operate pursuant to the SONET standard or SDH. Also, other standards such as ATM may be modified to include topology information in the overhead to operate according to the invention (col. 7, lines 41-46). Network elements operating according to the different standards mentioned above represent different types of network elements. Oliva fails to expressly disclose sending a port detection signal in response to receiving port identifiers. Oliva also fails to expressly disclose using different types of network elements in the same system. Chao discloses sending a confirmation message from one node (12) to another node (18) after receiving link information from the node (18) between ports on a bi-directional link (col. 15, lines 40-61). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to send a confirmation message, representing the port detection signal of the present invention, after receiving port identifier information from a source port in the invention of Oliva. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include different types of network elements in the same system, such as SONET and ATM, which Oliva discloses would be possible by suggesting the modification of ATM to include transport overhead. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to send the confirmation message to the source port to acknowledge receipt of the port information and

Art Unit: 2665

notify the source port that the destination port was ready to receive information. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use two types of elements in order to support a heterogeneous network that provides interoperability.

Regarding claims 2, 7, 14, 31, 34 and 41, Oliva discloses that any of the network elements may operate as a management system, which represents the leader NE of the present invention (col. 5, line 67 – col. 6, line 4). As described above, one network element may request port identification from another network element acting as the management system. The port identification information sent in response to the request represents the port binding information of the present invention. Oliva also discloses the network may operate according to the SONET, SDH or ATM standard (col. 7, lines 42-47). Thus, it is possible that elements of the same type, SONET for instance, may send a request to another element of that same type, which would represent the first type of the present invention.

Regarding claims 8 and 35, Oliva discloses that the system may operate according to the SDH standard, in which case the first type of NE may be an SDH NE (col. 7, lines 44-45)

Regarding claims 9, 10, 36 and 37, Oliva discloses that the system may operate according to the ATM standard, which is a packet switching technology. In that case, the first type of NE may be an ATM NE (col. 7, lines 45-47).

Regarding claims 12 and 39, Oliva discloses that the management system, which may be embodied in a network element, may periodically check or receive identifiers from the various network elements (col. 5, lines 63-67). As mentioned above, each identifier is associated with a different port on a different network element.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 13 and 40, Oliva discloses storing port binding information at each network element in a memory (col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 5).

4. Claims 3, 4, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (US 6,654,802) in view of Chao et al. (US 6,549513) as applied to claims 1, 2, 7-10, 12-15, 29-31, 34-37, and 39-41 above, and further in view of Au (US 6,473,397).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 32 and 33, the system provided by Oliva et al. in view of Chao et al. fails to expressly disclose a port identification request queue. Au discloses a system of interconnected nodes that communicate with each other by using a number of ports (Figure 5). Au also discloses that each of the ports comprises a queue (col. 8, line 60 – col. 9, line 4). Every cell received at a port enters the queue for that port. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use ports comprising a queue to receive the port identification requests in the system provided by Oliva et al. in view of Chao et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to avoid dropping requests if multiple requests were received at a particular port.

5. Claims 11 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (US 6,654,802) in view of Chao et al. (US 6,549513) as applied to claims 1, 2, 7-10, 12-15, 29-31, 34-37, and 39-41 above, and further in view of Tounai et al. (US 5,870,382).

Regarding claims 11 and 38, the system provided by Oliva et al. in view of Chao et al. fail to expressly disclose that the port detection signal is a SONET/SDH protection switching message. Tounai discloses using K1 and K2 bytes for performing switching control (col. 4, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/523,615 Page 6

Art Unit: 2665

33-42). These K1 and K2 bytes represent the SONET/SDH protection switching message of the

present invention. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person

of ordinary skill in the art to use the K1 and K2 bytes of Tounai as a port detection signal in the

system provided by Oliva et al. in view of Chao et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have

been motivated to do this to provide port connection information when a line switch was being

made due to link failure.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thomas Volper whose telephone number is 703-305-8405 and

fax number is 703-746-9467. The examiner can normally be reached between 8:30am and

6:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached at 703-308-6602. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating

to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose

telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Thomas E. Volper

F.1 0.0

February 8, 2004

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600